



Position Statement on the Societal Value of Science

Proposed by the Science Europe Working
Group on Research Policy and
Programme Evaluation

Brussels, 17 November 2016

Jordi Molas-Gallart

Chair WG on Research Policy and Programme Evaluation

Research Professor, INGENIO (CSIC-UPV)

jormoga@ingenio.upv.es

Be alert about concepts and definitions. They circumscribe what matters and how you look at it

Journey 1: From impact to contribution

- ▶ ‘Impact’ suggests unidirectional, direct and rather immediate effect of research on society, preferably based on observable ‘change’
- ▶ The effects of science on society are multifaceted
- ▶ They occur in many different ways
- ▶ These processes are complex
- ▶ They require the contribution of many different actors

From impact to contribution (2)

- ▶ Focus on collaboration, networks and contributions, rather than point-to-point knowledge transfer
- ▶ Societal outcomes are the effect of collaboration among diverse actors and the combination of research results with many other inputs
- ▶ They take time
- ▶ The ways these contributions are channelled are also varied, generating different 'pathways' linking research with the applications of its outputs

Putting value back in “evaluation”

Journey 2: From impact to value

- ▶ The pursuit of public values as the core rationale for public research, therefore...
- ▶ ...when assessing research contributions to society
 - ▶ Value judgements are necessary
 - ▶ We need to consider the value they can generate for future generations
 - ▶ We need to consider how research can broaden the options available to society

From impact to value (2)

- ▶ Core concept in the Position Statement:
 - ▶ Value will be deemed to accrue when research has a *direct or indirect* **influence** on the generation of effects that are valued by society, including the pluralism contributed by academic freedom
- ▶ Value depends on what whole societies, or segments thereof, hold as important based on their needs and preferences at a specific point in time

Contestableness, debate and the use of evaluation results

- ▶ Evaluating the contribution of science to society is difficult and contestable
 - ▶ Different understandings of what is valuable
 - ▶ Not everything that is valuable is directly observable (do not be driven by the availability of indicators)
- ▶ Applications
 - ▶ Are often unanticipated and unplanned
 - ▶ Do not depend only on the researchers
 - ▶ Could lead to “bad” impacts
- ▶ Importance of methodological diversity
- ▶ The results of “impact assessments” should not determine, on their own, the allocation of funds
 - ▶ Use with care: they may generate unintended effects on research activities